GYPSEOUS SOIL IMPROVEMENT USING FUEL OIL

Aziz Hussein Yousif [1]

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the suitability of fuel oil in improving gypseous soil. A detailed laboratory tests were carried-out on two soils (soil I with 51.6% gypsum content, and soil II with 26.55%), where the two soils were obtained from Al-Therthar site (Al-Anbar Province-Iraq). This study examines the improvement of soil properties using the gypsum material is locally available and low cost to minimize the effect of moisture on these soils by using the fuel oil. Study was conducted on two models of the soil gypsum, from the Tharthar area. The first model was sandy and slimy contents of Gypsym (51.6%) and the second is clayey soil and the content of Gypsym is (26.55%). The program included tests measuring the permeability of soil and compressibility and their collapse properties. Have been found bearing shear strength of the soil and the amount of weight loss of fuel oil and the recession in the soil as a result of drying temperature (50°C) in addition to testing category. These tests have been conducted on the treated soil and untreated to observe the effect of soil treatment on the engineering properties when mixed with varying degrees of fuel oil with the equivalent of the water content. The results showed that fuel oil is a good article to modify the soil gypsum, in order to have improved the basic properties of the soil gypsum of collapsibility and permeability, which is one of the fundamental problems of the soil gypsum and retained soil by an appropriate amount of the durability of storytelling gives durable and bearing capacity for the soil enough to carry the weight of construction and access to sustainability of these soils are suitable for use is permitted in areas exposed to moisture.

Keywords: Geotechnical Engineering; Gypseous soil; Enhancement of Gypseous Soils

[1] Graduate Student, School of Civil Engineering, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610031, China; husseinyousif_9@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Gypseous soils are usually found in arid and semi-arid areas, where the annual quantity of rain water is inadequate for leaching the gypsum of these soils. Its sediments or rocks may be present in the form of hydrated calcium sulphate ($CaSO_4.2H_2O$) or an-hydrated ($CaSO_4$), which are both crystalline non-crystalline form is alabaster (BURINGH, 1960).

The gypsum content usually varies in such soils from few percents to more than (90%) as in rocks of gypsum. Specific gravity of gypsum is 2.3 (BLYTH, 1971) and is considered to be a fairly soluble salt (BARZANJI, 1973), but its solubility depends on many factors such as the velocity of flow water, temperature and many others.

The dissolution of gypsum due to percolation, irrigation water, and rain water or from other sources is a dangerous case in gypseous soils since this process will lead to an excessive and sometimes catastrophic settlement. Shear strength of soil also reduces as a result of this process; therefore, the safety and good performance of the foundations of structures especially in hydraulic structures and earth structures such as embankments and dams will be governed by the changes in the properties of these soils. The presence of gypsum in the soils represents one of the most complicated engineering problems due to its detrimental behavior, especially when accompanied by environmental changes in moisture content, temperature, and presence of certain types of salts; therefore, some measures are needed to treat these soils before constructing in such areas.

The aim of this study is to introduce a more economical material which acts as an impermeable layer, and can sustain the applied structure loads. This material is the same gypseous soil, but after treating it with fuel oil, which is a low cost oil refinery residue. This enhanced soil is to be spread to form an impermeable blanket of finite thickness below foundations and so controls harmful effect of water leak or percolation.

Many of researches trying to find a cheap and effective material for improvement the gypseous soils like (AL-ZORY, 1993) studied the stability of lime in treatment of the gypseous soil, while (O'FLAHERTY, 1988) used cement as an improvement material. Bituminous materials are considered as main water proofing agent that could be used for gypseous soil, (AL-MORSHEDY, 2001) used cut back bitumen, while (AL-ALAWEE, 2001) suggest a treatment with emulsion asphalt. The stabilization of

gypseous soil by lime and emulsion asphalt was done by (ESHO, 2004).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fuel Oil:

Fuel oil from Al-Dura Refinery was used throughout this investigation. The Fuel oils are brownish-black petroleum fractions consisting largely of the distillation residues from asphaltic-type crude oils, with a relative density of about 0.95 (GOODGER, 1975)

The maximum water-content is specified as 1 percent by volume. Fuel oils are used for heating and steam-raising in ships and industry due to its cheap cost.

Compaction Test:

Remolded gypseous soils with different percents of fuel oil were used to study fuel oil effect on the compaction characteristics i.e - maximum dry density and optimum (moisture + water) content. Compaction was conducted according to ASTM, D 1557-70. For each fuel oil percent considered the corresponding water content that reveals maximum dry density was obtained and this blend was considered for the rest of tests. The standard Proctor mold with 4-inch diameter and 4.6-inch height was used and standard hammer of 5.5-lb weight in the preparation of all tested samples, and

compacted in three successive layers with 25 blows for each layer.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Linear Shrinkage Limit:

This test was performed on treated samples of soil I at different F.O. content which are (2, 4, 6, and 8%), in addition to the untreated samples. Each sample had an optimum moisture content (O.M.C) corresponding to its F.O. content. The results of shrinkage limits are shown in Fig. (1), from which it can be noticed that the shrinkage limit of treated specimens has increased slightly due to increasing the F.O. content, but they are less than the untreated specimens.


Figure 1 - The relationship between shrinkage limit and percent of fuel oil.

Permeability-Leaching Test:

Leaching test was run on several samples of soil I under a head of 2m of water and the hydraulic conductivity was measured by the falling head method. The specimens prepared by compacting the gypseous soil at different F.O. contents (0, 2, 4, 6, 8) % at the corresponding O.M.C for each F.O. percent.

The hydraulic conductivity was followed-up during the leaching process and the results are shown in the Figs. (2) to (6). These Figs. show the variation of the hydraulic conductivity with time. It is noticed that the hydraulic conductivity decreases with increasing the percent of F.O.

Specimens with (6% and 8%) F.O. lost little quantities of F.O. due to leaching, but these samples maintained their low hydraulic conductivity for appreciably long period (more than 300 days).

Durability:

Durability is to be defined here as the period of time, during which as specimen can sustain a certain hydraulic gradient before piping.

As shown in Figures 2 to 6, it is noticed that the treated specimens are more stable than the untreated soil. After a period of 73 days, the percolating water through the untreated specimen managed in making a relatively large hole (piping), where the flow was freely flowing. The durability of the specimen treated with 2% F.O. was 143 days before the occurrence of piping. The specimens treated with higher percents of F.O. were more durable than the specimen treated with 2% F.O., since they were stable for over 300 days and remain durable for all the period of this study.

**Figure 2** - The hydraulic conductivity for untreated soil

Figure 3 - The hydraulic conductivity for soil I with 2%

**Figure 4** - The hydraulic conductivity for soil I with 4% treatment

Figure 5 - The hydraulic conductivity for soil I with 6% treatment


Figure 6 - The hydraulic conductivity for soil I with 8% treatment

Collapse Test:

The results of collapse tests which were carried out in accordance to (Jennings and Knight, 1975) in the form of (e-log p) curves are shown in Fig. (7). The remolded samples were tested using standard Odometer, and they were compacted with different percents of F.O. plus a specified amounts of moisture that assures max. dry density.

A sudden change in void ratio during testing was noticed after the addition of water at the loading of 200 kPa according to Jennings and Knight, then loading was continuously increased to a load of 800 kPa, after that unloading test was carried out in one or two stages. The collapse is represented by a vertical line in the (e-log p) curves.

For the untreated soil the saturation of the specimens with water destroyed the inter-particle cementing bonds and new particles rearrangement was achieved maintaining a new state of equilibrium. This phenomenon increases the overall observed soil compressibility.


Figure 7 - The relationship between collapse potential and percent of F.O. for Soil I and II

The percent of collapse potential (C.P.) at any stress level could be determined from:

$$C.P. = \frac{\Delta e}{1 + e^0}$$

Δe = Change in void ratio upon wetting; e^0 = Natural void ratio; *C.P.* = Collapse potential

The collapse (De at the load of 200kPa) for both soils decreases when increasing the percent of F.O., till it reaches a very small value at the largest percents used of F.O. The collapse potential (C.P.) of the soil treated with 8% of F.O. is only 12% of the untreated soil as shown in Fig. 7. This behavior may be attributed to the effect of water-proofing of F.O., when it coats the soil and gypsum particles as this coating reduces the gypsum dissolution by the water and controls the skeleton destruction, which leads to a reduction in the collapsibility of the soil. This phenomenon is clearer as the F.O. percent is increased to a value of about 8%.

The initial voids ratios of treated specimens are less than that of untreated specimens. This may be attributed to the fact that the lubrication action of F.O. is better than that of water, so a lower void ratio is obtained for the treated specimens.

The values of compression index (Cc), swelling index (Cr), reduce for soil I&II as shown in the Figures 8 and 9.


Figure 8 - The relationship of compression index & swelling index with percent of F.O for soil I


Figure 9 -The relationship of compression index & swelling index with percent of F.O for soil II

Shear Strength Test
Unconfined Compression Tests (UC):

The results of UC tests shown in Figs. 10 and 11 show the relationship between the stress and axial strain of soil I&II, by where it can be noticed that

increasing the percent of F.O. reduces the apparent cohesion of the soil.

**Figure 10** - The relationship between the stress and axial strain of soil I

Figure 11 - The relationship between the stress and axial strain of soil II

Variation of Strength upon Drying:

The specimens of the two soils were compacted at the specified O.M.C with the corresponding percents of F.O., then three specimens were extracted from each mold using thin liner tubes and their moisture contents were reduced with aid of an oven that has a temperature of $50^{o}C$. Unconfined compression test were carried out on samples at various moisture contents. The strength increases as the moisture content for any F.O. content is reduced.

Unconsolidated Undrained Test (UU):

The results of UU tests show that the deviator stress versus the axial strain and (p – q) diagrams, by which it can be noticed that a slight increase in the angle of internal friction and a significant decrease in cohesion are obtained due to the addition of F.O. The combined effect of the two is a reduction in shear strength of the soils.

The cohesion component of strength is reduced due to the coating film of F.O. that surrounds the soil particles and prevents any cementing bond to develop between the soil particles. On the other hand the slight increase in (φ) values may be attributed to the impurities present in F.O. which may increase the surface frictional resistance at the contact points between the particles.

Losses of Weight upon Drying

The specimens were oven dried at $50^{o}C$ for a period of about 120 days, and during this period the losses of weight

were measured. The loss of weight was 11% for the specimen of (6% F.O. + 11% w), 10% for the specimen of (8% F.O. + 10% w), and 1% for the specimen of (6% F.O. + 1% w), and so it was concluded that the loss is equal to the weight of water present in the soil sample and no loss is attributed to the evaporation of F.O.

This losses in the weight of the F.O. due leaching explain the reason of the higher hydraulic conductivity in the treated with 2% of F.O. as shown in Fig. 3, while this losses is not effected with higher percents of F.O. like 4, 6 and 8; therefore, the using of 4% or 6% treatments with this material of soil I gives less cost of F.O. material, less hydraulic conductivity, more durability, less collapsibility and appropriate value of cohesion (strength parameters).

4. CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that increasing the fuel oil content was effective in:

1- Decreasing the permeability and leaching due to the effect of reducing void ration of the treated soil by increasing the lubrications between the soil particles and maintain rearrangement and reducing the voids.

2- Increasing the durability that the percent of 2% of treatment gives more durable time than the untreated soil, while the percents of 4, 6 and 8% give durability for all the period of the test and may be still durable for all the age of the structure.

3- Decreasing the collapsibility and compressibility, which are the main controls of the soil failure. This happened by the coating of the soil particles by the F.O. including the gypsum and leading to reduce the dissolution of gypsum and preventing the collapse.

4- Decreasing the shrinkage limit that the F.O. is considered as a viscous material and gives more flexibility for the soil.

5- Decreasing the cohesion with keeping a suitable bearing capacity for carrying the loads.

5. REFERENCES

ABDUL-JAWAD, S.M.;AL-AMOUDI, O.S. Geotechnical Behavior of Saline Sabkha Soils. **Geotechnique** v.45, n. 3., 1995.

ABOOD , M.K. Treatment of Gypseous Soils with Sodium Silicate , M.Sc. **Thesis**, Building and Construction Department, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad. 1994.

AL-ALAWEE, A.B. Treatment of Al-Therthar Gypseous Soil by Emulsified Asphalt Using Mold Test M.Sc. **Thesis** Building and Construction Department University of Technology, Baghdad. 2001.

AL-BUSODA, B.S.Z. Studies on the Behavior of Gypseous Soil and its Treatment During Loading , M.Sc. **Thesis**, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad. 1999.

AL-KUZAIE, H.M.A. The Effect of Leaching on the Engineering Properties of Al-Jazirah Soil , M.Sc. **Thesis**, Civil Engineering Department, University of Mosul.1985.

AL-MOHAMMADI, N.M., NASHAT, I.H. and BAKE, G.Y. Compressibility and Collapse of Gypstferous Soils , **6th Asian Conference on Soil Mechanics**, Tokyo. 1987.

AL-MORSHEDY, A. D. The Use of Cut-back MC-30 for Controlling the Collapsibility of Gypseous Soils, M.Sc. **Thesis**, Building and Construction Department, University of Technology. 2001.

ALPHEN, J.G.V.; ROMERO, F.D.E. **GypMferous Soils , Bulletin.** International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement. Wageningen, Holland. 1971.

AL-ZORY, E.A., (1993) The Effect of Leaching on Lime Stabilized Gypseous Soil , M.Sc **Thesis**, Mosul University. 1993.

BARAZANJI, A.F. Gypsiferous Soils of Iraq Ph.D. **Thesis**, University of Ghent, Belgium. 1973

BARDEN, L., McGOWN, A.; COLLINS, K. The Collapse Mechanism in Partly Saturated Soils **Engineering Geology**, v.7, n.1, p. 49-60. 1973

BLYTH, F.G. H. **A Geology for Engineerings** 15 ed. Edward Arnold Ltd: London.1971

British Standard Institution, B. S. 1377: **Nletliod of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes** , London, 1975.

CLEMENCE, S.P.; FINBAR , A.O.(198 1), Design Consideration for Collapsible Soils **Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Division**, Proc. ASCE, v.107, n. GTZ, p. 305-313, 1981.

COUMOULOS, D.,G.; KORYALOS, T. P. Stabilization of Loose Man-Made Fills by Grouting , **Stockholm conf**. , v.3 , p.633-636, 1981.

DIEFENTHAL, D.C.; BORDEN, R.H.; BAKER, W.H; Krizek, R. H. Strength and Stiffness of Silicate Grouted Saud with Different Stress Histories , **Geotechnical Testing Journal** v.2, n.4, p. 200-205, 1980.

DUDLY, J. H., Review of Collapsibility Soils **Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundations**, Proc. ASCE, v.96, n. SMZ, p. 925- 947, 1970.

Earth Manual: **Water and Power Resources Service in the U.S. Dept. of Interior**, Third Edition, Washington.1980.

ESHO, B. G. Stabilization of Gypseous Soils by Lime and Emulsified Asphalt , **M.Sc**. Thesis, College of Engineering, University of Mousl, 2004.

FEREIRA, S.R.M. and Teixeira, D.C. Collapsible Soil-A particle Case in Construction. **Proceedings** of the Twelfth International. 1989.

GOODGER. E .M., **Hydrocarbon Fuels Production, Properties and Performance of Liquids and Gases**. 1 ed. Edward Arnold Ltd: London,1975.

O'FLAHERTY, C. A. **Highways; Volume Highways Engineering**. 3rd Edition, Edward Arnold, London.1988.